### COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

P	а	g	е	1	of	3

Command: Fresno	Division: Central	Chapter:
Inspected by: B. R. Haight	, Sergeant	Date: December 21, 2009

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, cor	Inspection	on number. Under "Forwa ent shall be utilized to doc	ard to:" enter the nex ument innovative pr	Il in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter kt level of command where the document actices, suggestions for statewide e used if additional space is required.				
TYPE OF INSPECTION  ☐ Division Level ☐ Command L  ☐ Executive Office Level	Total hours expended on the inspection:		☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included					
Follow-up Required:	Divisio	ate: January 01,						
Command Suggestions for Statewide Improvement:								

Inspector's Findings:

A review of OSHA Form 300, CHP 121 Forms, CHP 442 Forms, and Injury/Illness logs showed a slight increase in work related injuries and illnesses in 2009. There were 26 work related injuries and illnesses in 2008 compared to 33 in 2009; however, no common trends were identified.

1. Goals and Accomplishments

The number of recordable vehicle collisions (car and motorcycle) also increased in 2009 over 2008. Two of the recordable collisions resulted in injury (one motor officer and two car officers). There was no common trend in their cause.

The number of preventable recordable vehicle collisions (car and motorcycle) in 2009 remained the same as 2008 with 2, below the goal of 4. Neither preventable recordable vehicle collision resulted in injury.

The number of disabling injuries increased in 2009. Two of the five disabling injuries were the result of a single, recordable vehicle collision. A third disabling injury, involving one of those employees, was a cumulative injury related to that collision. Discounting that incident, disabling injuries decreased from 2008.

#### COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

**EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT** 

Page 2 of 3

Command: Fresno	Division: Central	Chapter:
Inspected by: B. R. Haight	, Sergeant	Date: December 21, 2009

2. Participation

The Commander is actively involved in occupational safety. He is a member of the Command Occupational Safety Committee (COSC) and attends the meetings. He comments on occupational safety during training days, on performance appraisals, and at Area Staff Meetings. Occupational safety comments are made on CHP 100 forms monthly and on annual performance appraisals.

#### 5. Documentation

Area does not maintain copies of CHP 712 or CHP 712A with the IIPP file; however, copies of the forms are filed in a central file and maintained by the Command Safety Coordinator. The central file was developed in order to increase efficiency and ensure personnel receive adequate training.

#### 7. Communication with DOSH

Area personnel have been instructed on procedures regarding Division of Occupational Safety and Health (DOCH) inspections. Employees are briefed twice annually on the review of DOSH inspection procedures, the location of the IIPP and EAP materials.

Commander's Response:	Concur or Do Not	Concur (Do Not (	Concur shall document l	basis for response)
Ε	A 44.			
	Tar .		÷	
			*	
Inspector's Comments: Sh	nall address non concurrence	by commander (e.	.g., findings revised, find	dings unchanged,
etc.)				

## **COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM**EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Fresno	Central	12
Inspected by:		Date:
B. R. Haight	, Sergeant	December 21, 2009

equired Action
orrective Action Plan/Timeline

	20	BX/12 1/4/10
Employee would like to discuss this report with	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
the reviewer.	( LK 15 5	12 lach
(See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	UNSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE
	2 1/ 5 /	BATE
	Block Front	1-110
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
employee		
Concur Do not concur		

### AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY

CHP 453M (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER	
Fresno	Central	435	
EVALUATED BY		DATE	
B. R. Haight		12/21/2009	

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION	SUSPENSE DATE			
Formal Evaluation Informal Evaluation	01/01/2010			
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Correction Report  Yes No  BY	COMMANDER'S REVIE	Lant-	DATE	5-/10
1. GOALS AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS	Yes Yes	No ACTION REQUIRED	No	
<ul> <li>a. Is the command familiar with the Occupational Safety Progra Safety Manual, Chapter 13?</li> </ul>	ım as outlined in HPM	1 10.6, Occupational	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Are goals developed in accordance with departmental po	olicy?		✓ Yes	□No
(2) Are environmental factors, exposure factors, and past ex	xperience/trends cons	idered when setting goals?	✓ Yes	□No
(3) Are illness and non-serious/non-traumatic injuries exclude	ded from occupational	safety goals?	✓ Yes	□No
(4) Are goals appropriately categorized?			✓ Yes	□No
(5) Are goals realistic?			✓ Yes	□No
(6) Are goals consistent with departmental objectives?			Yes	□No
(7) Is input from all levels considered before goals are estab	olished?		✓ Yes	□No
b. Are goals being accomplished?	✓ Yes	□No		
(1) Accurate reporting on CHP 113, Accident and Injury Rep	oort?		✓ Yes	□No
(2) Are accidents increasing?			✓ Yes	□No
(3) Are injuries increasing?			✓ Yes	□No
(4) Why are they increasing/decreasing? Refer to attached	Exceptions Documen	ıt.		
				)*
(5) Is CHP 113, Accident and Injury Report, posted or readil	y accessible?		✓ Yes	☐ No
(6) Are employees knowledgeable about goals and achieve	ments?			□ No
(7) Are employees providing suggestions toward goal attain			✓ Yes	□No
2. PARTICIPATION	Yes	No No	No	)
a. Commander actively involved in program?			✓ Yes	□No
(1) Commander active in injury/illness case management?			✓ Yes	□No
(2) What is the commander's attitude regarding occupational	I safety? Refer to att	ached Exceptions Document	L	
H. a. ser				
C-71.				

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY

CHP 453M (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009

-				
	(3)	Occupational safety issues discussed at staff meetings and training days?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Are safety issues in the meeting minutes?	✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Commander comments regarding safety issues in performance evaluations?	✓ Yes	□No
	(6)	Does the commander ensure use of appropriate safety equipment?	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Are	managers/supervisors actively involved in the program?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are managers/supervisors involved in case management?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Do they have the appropriate attitude?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are managers monitoring supervisors' progress and efforts to attain goals?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Are supervisors monitoring employees' efforts?	✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Do managers comment on safety issues in performance evaluations?	Yes	□No
	(6)	Do supervisors comment on safety issues in performance evaluations?	✓ Yes	□No
	(7)	Do managers/supervisors ensure the use of proper safety equipment?	✓ Yes	□No
C.	Are	employees actively involved in the Occupational Safety Program?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are employees involved in their case management?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are employees knowledgeable about safety goals?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are they aware of the command's achievements?	✓ Yes	□No
-	(4)	Are employees practicing safety while performing their duties?	✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Are employees reporting unsafe conditions and/or work practices?	✓ Yes	□Ño
	(6)	Do employees work cooperatively to minimize hazards?	Yes	□No
	(7)	Do employees offer suggestions to improve occupational safety?	Yes	□No
	(8)	Is employee equipment properly used and maintained?	Yes	□ No
3. A	CCID	ENT AND INJURY TRENDS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED NO	No	)
а,	Cor	mander's method of identifying trends? The Commander identifies trends through critical review of work-re	elated inju	ry and illness
	doc	amentation, and active involvement in injury and illness case management. Accidents and injuries are being	g monitore	d to identify
	trer	ds and are discussed at Command Occupational Safety (COSC) meetings.		
	(1)	Are accidents and injuries being monitored to identify trends?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Is the Occupational Safety Committee reviewing CHP 113, Accident and Injury Report, OSHA 300, Log of Occupational Injuries and Illnesses, entries, prior meeting minutes?		□No
	(3)	Are personnel in the command aware of current and potential trends?	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Wha	t corrective action has the command taken when a trend has been identified? Although no local trends exist	t at this tim	ie, the
	Cor	mander utilizes examples of trends from other areas as well as information provided in Division Occupational	Safety Co	mmittee
	mee	tings to ensure those trends so not become an issue in the Area. Action items are assigned to COSC members	to address.	

Destroy Previous Editions

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

### AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY

CHP 453M (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009

		(1)	Are commanders, managers, and supervisors actively impl	ementing correcti	ve actions?	✓ Yes	□No
4.	co	MN	MAND OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY COMMITTEE (COSC)	Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED	)
-	a.	Wł	hat is the composition of the COSC? Commander, Executive	: Officer (Chairpe	rson), sergeant (Coordinate	or), four officers,	public safety
		dis	spatcher, office assistant, automotive technician, and maintena	ınce technician.			
		(1)	Is there representation from each collective bargaining unit	?		✓ Yes	□No
		(2)	Management and supervisory representation?		71116:	✓ Yes	□No
		(3)	Command Safety Coordinator assigned?			✓ Yes	□No
		(4)	Command Safety Coordinator active and effective?			✓ Yes	□No
		(5)	Are committee assignments rotated?			✓ Yes	□No
		(6)	COSC meetings held quarterly?			✓ Yes	□No
		(7)	Are meetings held more frequently when goals are not bein	g attained?		√ Yes	□ No
		(8)	Do all committee members attend the meetings?			✓ Yes	□No
-	b.	Аге	e roles and responsibilities defined in accordance with IIPP?			✓ Yes	□No
		(1)	Do committee members understand their roles and respons	sibilities?		✓ Yes	□No
		(2)	Is an agenda prepared prior to the meeting?			✓ Yes	□No
		(3)	Are departmental and Division Occupational Safety meeting	gs minutes readily	/ available?	✓ Yes	□No
		(4)	Are these minutes utilized for Area meetings?			✓ Yes	□No
		(5)	Are assignments given during Area meetings?			✓ Yes	□No
	C.	Min	nutes prepared for the COSC meeting?	ě		✓ Yes	□No
		(1)	Recording secretary appointed?			✓ Yes	□No
		(2)	Minutes posted on command's Occupational Safety Board?	)			☐ No
		(3)	Are minutes included in IIPP file?	2.	3	✓ Yes	□No
	(	(4)	Minutes maintained current year, plus three?			✓ Yes	□No
	(	(5)	Minutes forwarded through channels?			✓ Yes	□No
	d.	ls th	he COSC effective?	9		✓ Yes	□No
	(	(1)	Are COSC recommendations clear, concise and pertinent to	o the command?		✓ Yes	□No
	(	(2)	COSC proactive to eliminate potential causes of accidents	and injuries?		✓ Yes	□No
	(	(3)	COSC disseminate current information and training regarding	ng health and saf	ety issues?	✓ Yes	□ No
===	e. 1	Do	all personnel receive current information regarding health an	id safety?		✓ Yes	□No
	f. A	\re	outside agency safety programs utilized as a resource?			✓ Yes	□ No
	g. I	Doe	es the command maintain an effective health and safety com	munications syste	em?	✓ Yes	□No
-	_						

Destroy Previous Editions

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

**OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY** 

CHP	453M	(Rev	5-061	OPI	Pnn	

	(1) Potential hazards reported on CHP 113B, Hazard	Report/Inspection?		√ Yes	□No
	(2) Are findings of the 113B, Hazard Report/Inspection		□No		
	(3) Do all members of the command participate in dist	✓ Yes	□No		
	(4) COSC minutes posted in a timely manner?	Yes	□No		
	(5) Required posters prominently.displayed?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(6) COSC maintain the Command Occupational Safety	y Bulletin Board?		✓ Yes	□No
	(7) Are responsibilities for the Occupational Safety Bulletin Board contents assigned to specific members?				□No
5. C	OCUMENTATION	Yes EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED Yes	No	
а	STD 261s, Authorization to Use Privately Owned Vehic filed in the employee's field folder?	eles on State Business, con	mpleted annually and		□No
b	DMV INF 254, Government Agency Request for Driver to request driver's license record check and filed in the	License/Identification Recemployee's field folder?	ord Information, utilized	✓ Yes	□No
C.	OSHA 300, Log of Occupational Injury and Illnesses, ut	tilized?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Are required injuries and illnesses logged?	8*5		✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Entries made within six working days of notification	of an employee injury or	llness?		□No
	(3) Is lost-time and limited-duty documentation accurate	te?		✓ Yes	□No
	(4) Retention according to policy?	*		✓ Yes	□No
	(5) Readily accessible for review by Cal-OSHA?				□No
	(6) Previous calendar year log posted during February	?			□No
d.	Are CHP 113s, Accident and Injury Report, compiled ac	ccurately?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Commander review and sign?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2) CHP 113s and attachments processed in a timely r	manner?		✓ Yes	□No
e.	Does the command utilize the CHP 113A, Safety Inspec	ction Checklist?			□No
	(1) Are semiannual safety inspections conducted?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Are safety hazards identified?			✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is corrective action taken within 30 days?			✓ Yes	□No
-	(4) CHP 113A, Safety Inspection Checklist, maintained	d with IIPP and retained ac	ccording to policy?	Yes	□No
f.	Are unsafe conditions identified and documented on CH	P 113B, Hazard Report/In	spection?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Measures taken to correct situation within 30 days?	?		✓ Yes	□ No
	(2) Copy of CHP 113B, Hazard Report/Inspection, filed	or attached to IIPP?		✓ Yes	□No
g.	Are the CHP 121 series thoroughly and accurately com	pleted?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Supervisory comments in-depth, clear, and concise	?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Commander signature on appropriate forms?			✓ Yes	No

## DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY

CHP 453M (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009

	(3)	Routed within time frames?				□ No		
1	h. Is CHP 208, Accident Prevention Report, thoroughly and accurately completed?							
	(1)	✓ Yes	□No					
	(2)	✓ Yes	□No					
	(3)	✓ Yes	□No					
	(4)	Properly routed within time limits?				□No		
i	i. Are	injuries and accidents documented on CHP:442; Individual A	ccident, Injury ar	d Safety Recognition Record?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(1)	Are CHP 442s, Individual Accident, Injury and Safety Recog	nition Record, cu	irrent?	√ Yes	□No		
	(2)		□No					
j	. Are	CHP 712As, Injury and Illness Prevention Program Orientation	n and Review, k	ept current?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(1)	√ Yes	□No					
	(2)	Copies maintained with IIPP file?			☐ Yes	☑ No		
6. I	INJUR	Y AND ILLNESS PREVENTION PROGRAM	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED			
a	a. Coi	mmand specific IIPP on file?	17.00	i nere	✓ Yes	□No		
	(1) Is the program effective?					□No		
_	(2)	Contains all required documents?			✓ Yes	□No		
-	(3)	✓ Yes	□No					
-	(4)	All employees understand their roles and responsibilities?			✓ Yes	□No		
	(5)	Each employee completed CHP 712A, Injury and Illness Pre	vention Program	Orientation and Review?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(6)	New employees review and complete CHP 712A, Injury and and Review?		on Program Orientation	✓ Yes	□No		
	(7)	Are unsafe hazards or conditions identified, investigated, co	rrected, and doc	umented?	Yes	□No		
	(8)	Is required documentation maintained according to policy?			✓ Yes	□No		
7. (	COMM	UNICATION WITH DOSH	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED Yes	No			
а	a. Em	ployees aware of procedures regarding DOSH inspections?				□No		
b	o. Cor	mmand's documents readily available for review by DOSH Co	mpliance Officer		✓ Yes	□ No		
8. F	HAZAF	RDOUS SUBSTANCE PROGRAM	Yes	No REQUIRED	No			
а	a. Doe	es command have a written Hazardous Substance Program fo	or substances us	ed within that command?	Yes	□No		
	(1) Are hazardous substances identified and properly labeled?							
	(2) Warning signs posted?							
	(3)	Material Safety Data Sheets readily available?			√ Yes	□No		
	(4)	Employees receive training?			√ Yes	□No		

Destroy Previous Editions

1 PH 42 X

#### AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY

CHP 453M (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009

	(5) Training documented?					□No
-	(6) Employees informed of their right to applicable medical and exposure information?				✓ Yes	□No
9. H	AZAF	RDOUS EXPOSURE CONTROL PROGRAMS	Yes EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED NO:	)
а.	Act	tivities identified within command that may require expo	sure to hazardous cond	litions?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1)	Appropriate engineering and/or administrative control	s implemented?			□No
	(2)	Protective equipment provided in accordance with ba	rgaining unit agreement	s?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Employees trained on use and maintenance of equip	ment?		✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Training documented?		Gr.	✓ Yes	☐ No

The Area Occupational Safety Program is designed to promote employee safety and well-being. It is the Area's intent to achieve all occupational safety goals. Area personnel are actively involved in various aspects of the program and are knowledgeable about occupational safety goals. Occupational safety training is provided regularly by qualified instructors. The program fulfills the requirements of the IIPP, HPM 10.6, and HPM 10.7. An exemplary attitude toward occupational safety is projected by the Area.

#### Memorandum

Date:

January 11, 2010

To:

Office of Assistant Commissioner, Inspector General

Office of Inspections

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Central Division

File No.:

401.11497.17203.OccSafeInsp435

Subject:

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY INSPECTION-FRESNO AREA

On December 21, 2009, Fresno Area performed an Occupational Safety Inspection. Attached are the completed CHP 453M, Area Management Evaluation, Occupational Safety Inspection and CHP 680A, Command Inspection Program, Exceptions Document.

If there are any questions, please contact Lieutenant J. C. Elsome at (559) 277-7250.

J. R. ABRAMES, Chief

Attachment

cc: Office of Assistant Commissioner, Field

JAN 22 2010

### AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY

CHP 453M (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER	
Fresno	Central	435	
EVALUATED BY		DATE	
B. R. Haight		12/21/2009	

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION		SUSPENSE DATE			
☐ Formal Evaluation	✓ Informal Evaluation	01/01/2010			
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED  Yes V No	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW	Lut.	DATE	5-/10
1. GOALS AND ACC	OMPLISHMENTS	EVALUATED Yes	ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED	5//
a. Is the command Safety Manual,	f familiar with the Occupational Safet Chapter 13?	y Program as outlined in HPM	10.6, Occupational	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Are goals of	leveloped in accordance with departr	nental policy?		✓ Yes	□No
(2) Are enviror	mental factors, exposure factors, and	d past experience/trends consid	dered when setting goals?	✓ Yes	□No
(3) Are illness	and non-serious/non-traumatic injurie	es excluded from occupational s	safety goals?	✓ Yes	□No
(4) Are goals a	ppropriately categorized?			✓ Yes	□No
(5) Are goals re	ealistic?			✓ Yes	□No
(6) Are goals c	onsistent with departmental objective	es?		✓ Yes	□No
(7) Is input fror	n all levels considered before goals a	are established?		✓ Yes	□No
b. Are goals being	accomplished?	4		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Accurate re	porting on CHP 113, Accident and In	njury Report?		✓ Yes	□No
(2) Are accider	its increasing?			✓ Yes	□No
(3) Are injuries	increasing?			✓ Yes	□ No
(4) Why are the	ey increasing/decreasing? Refer to a	attached Exceptions Document			
(5) Is CHP 113	, Accident and Injury Report, posted	or readily accessible?		✓ Yes	□No
(6) Are employ	ees knowledgeable about goals and	achievements?		✓ Yes	□No
(7) Are employ	ees providing suggestions toward go	al attainment?		✓ Yes	□No
2. PARTICIPATION		Yes EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	)
a. Commander act	ively involved in program?			✓ Yes	□No
(1) Commande	r active in injury/illness case manage	ement?		✓ Yes	□No
(2) What is the	commander's attitude regarding occi	upational safety? Refer to attac	ched Exceptions Documen	t	

### AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY

CHP 453M (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009

		(3)	Occupational safety issues discussed at staff meetings and	training days?		✓ Yes	□No
		(4) Are safety issues in the meeting minutes?					□No
	(5) Commander comments regarding safety issues in performance evaluations?					✓ Yes	□No
	(6) Does the commander ensure use of appropriate safety equipment?					✓ Yes	□No
	b.	Are	managers/supervisors actively involved in the program?			✓ Yes	□No
		(1)	Are managers/supervisors involved in case management?			✓ Yes	□No
		(2)	Do they have the appropriate attitude?			✓ Yes	□No
		(3)	Are managers monitoring supervisors' progress and efforts t	o attain goals?		✓ Yes	□No
		(4)	Are supervisors monitoring employees' efforts?			✓ Yes	□No
		(5)	Do managers comment on safety issues in performance eva	luations?		✓ Yes	□No
		(6)	Do supervisors comment on safety issues in performance ev	valuations?		✓ Yes	□No
		(7)	Do managers/supervisors ensure the use of proper safety ed	quipment?		✓ Yes	□No
	c.	Are	employees actively involved in the Occupational Safety Prog	ram?		✓ Yes	□No
		(1)	Are employees involved in their case management?			✓ Yes	□No
		(2)	Are employees knowledgeable about safety goals?			✓ Yes	□No
		(3)	Are they aware of the command's achievements?			✓ Yes	□No
		(4)	Are employees practicing safety while performing their duties	s?		✓ Yes	□No
		(5)	Are employees reporting unsafe conditions and/or work prac	tices?		✓ Yes	□No
		(6)	Do employees work cooperatively to minimize hazards?			✓ Yes	□No
		(7)	Do employees offer suggestions to improve occupational sat	fety?		✓ Yes	□No
		(8)	Is employee equipment properly used and maintained?			✓ Yes	□No
3.	AC	CIDE	ENT AND INJURY TRENDS	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED No	
	а.	Con	nmander's method of identifying trends? The Commander id	entifies trends through c	ritical review of work-re	lated injur	y and illness
		doci	umentation, and active involvement in injury and illness case	e management. Acciden	ts and injuries are being	monitorec	l to identify
		tren	ds and are discussed at Command Occupational Safety (COS	SC) meetings.			
	(	(1)	Are accidents and injuries being monitored to identify trends	?		✓ Yes	□No
	(		Is the Occupational Safety Committee reviewing CHP 113, A Log of Occupational Injuries and Illnesses, entries, prior mee		rt, OSHA 300,	✓ Yes	□No
	(	(3)	Are personnel in the command aware of current and potentia	al trends?		✓ Yes	☐ No
-	b. \	Wha	t corrective action has the command taken when a trend has	been identified? Altho	ugh no local trends exist	at this time	e, the
		Con	nmander utilizes examples of trends from other areas as well a	s information provided in	n Division Occupational	Safety Cor	nmittee
		mee	tings to ensure those trends so not become an issue in the Are-	a. Action items are assig	ned to COSC members t	o address.	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

### AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY

CHP 453M (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009

	(1)	Are commanders, managers, and supervisors actively impl	lementing correcti	ve actions?	✓ Yes	□No
4. 0	OMI	MAND OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY COMMITTEE (COSC)	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
—— а	. W	nat is the composition of the COSC? Commander, Executive	) listiaws	, and a second		public safety
	di	spatcher, office assistant, automotive technician, and maintena	ance technician.			
	(1)	Is there representation from each collective bargaining unit	1?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Management and supervisory representation?				□No
	(3)	Command Safety Coordinator assigned?			✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Command Safety Coordinator active and effective?			✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Are committee assignments rotated?			✓ Yes	□No
	(6)	COSC meetings held quarterly?			✓ Yes	□No
	(7)	Are meetings held more frequently when goals are not being	ng attained?		✓ Yes	□No
	(8)	Do all committee members attend the meetings?			✓ Yes	□No
b	. Ar	e roles and responsibilities defined in accordance with IIPP?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do committee members understand their roles and respon	sibilities?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Is an agenda prepared prior to the meeting?				□No
	(3)	Are departmental and Division Occupational Safety meeting	gs minutes readil	y available?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Are these minutes utilized for Area meetings?			✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Are assignments given during Area meetings?			✓ Yes	□No
С	. Mi	nutes prepared for the COSC meeting?			Yes	□No
	(1)	Recording secretary appointed?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Minutes posted on command's Occupational Safety Board	?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are minutes included in IIPP file?			✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Minutes maintained current year, plus three?		42710	✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Minutes forwarded through channels?			✓ Yes	□No
d	. Is	he COSC effective?	1		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are COSC recommendations clear, concise and pertinent	to the command?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	COSC proactive to eliminate potential causes of accidents	and injuries?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	COSC disseminate current information and training regard	ing health and sa	fety issues?	✓ Yes	□ No
е	. Do	all personnel receive current information regarding health a	nd safety?		✓ Yes	□No
f.	Are	outside agency safety programs utilized as a resource?			✓ Yes	□No
g	. Do	es the command maintain an effective health and safety con	nmunications syst	em?	✓ Yes	□No